## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Clear Form

\*E-FILED - 4/11/07\*

Daimyo Chen	
D1 1 (100)	CASE NO. C 06 07435 RMW
Plaintiff(s),	
v.	STIPULATION AND []
Walgreen Co.	ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(s).	
/	
Counsel report that they have me following stipulation pursuant to Civil I	et and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the fo	llowing ADR process:
<b>Court Processes:</b>	
Non-binding Arbitration	(ADR L.R. 4)
Early Neutral Evaluation	
Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	
appreciably more likely to meet their ne	es settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is seeds than any other form of ADR, must participate in an e this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for l Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
<b>Private Process:</b>	
	ntify process and provider)
	on by: c (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)
✓ other requested deadline	May 30, 2007, as ordered by the Court
Dated: 04/10/2007	Charles Correia, Esq.
Dated. 04/10/2007	Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 04/10/2007	Daniel J. Fazio, Esq.
	Attorney for Defendant

## Case 5:06-cv-07435-RMW Document 12 Filed 04/11/07 Page 2 of 2

I hereby attest that I have originals of all signatures on file. /s/ Daniel J. Fazio

## **ORDER**

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to
Non-binding Arbitration
Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)
✓ Mediation
Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

- 90 days from the date of this order.
- other May 30, 2007, as ordered

IT IS SO ORDERED.

4/11/07	Royaldhit
Dated:	Konald M. Whyte
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE